UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/733,554	12/10/2003	Fred J. Molz IV	31132.63	7729	
46333 HAYNES AND	7590 07/22/200 D BOONE, LLP	EXAMINER			
901 Main Street		SWIGER III, JAMES L			
Suite 3100 Dallas, TX 75202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3733	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/22/2008	PAPER	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/733,554	MOLZ ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	JAMES L. SWIGER III	3733			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ma  2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 42-48,52-57 and 60 is/are pending in a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 42-48,52-57 and 60 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	vn from consideration.  r election requirement.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 12/10/2003 is/are: a) ☐ Applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the confidence	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	nte			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the **elastic material** must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s).

This limitation is found in claim 42 and claim 60.

No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 42-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In line 20, applicant claims "the rigid portion." There is no support for "rigid portions" found in the specification.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 42-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With regards to claim 42, applicant claims a "flexible member." However, in the first half of the claim, positively recited structure includes a first biocompatible attachment device, a second biocompatible attachment device, and a flexible member attached to these devices. This system is adapted to be flexible with respect to the superior and inferior vertebrae. In the second half of the claim, the applicant further discusses the "flexible member" to include a first and second components as well as an

elastic material, which is flexible. It is unclear if applicant is claiming the individual parts which must be flexible relative to the vertebrae, or if applicant is trying to claim that the entire device, shown in Fig. 4, is itself a 'flexible member.'

Appropriate action is required.

Claim 42 further recites the limitation "rigid portions" in line 20. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. No positive recitation prior to this mention is found in the claims. Also, this limitation is not supported by the specification.

Appropriate action is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 42-48, 52-57 and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lombardo (US Patent 6,238,396) in view of Ferree 2003/0220643).

Lombardo teaches a surgical implant capable of replacing the function of a facet joint comprising a first elongated body attachment device (10) and a second elongated attachment device (20). Each device has the capability of attaching to a pedicle of a vertebrae (see hooks 7). Both of the first and second elongated components have first joint elements with openings. Lombardo also has a member (5) that extends through the joint ends or first and second openings and allows variation in angles of the device. I

Elongate item 20 has joint end 25 with an opening. Elongate item 10 has an opening (9/70) in a joint end (11b). Both members may be considered "rigid." Also, in regards to applicant's invention the entire device itself may be considered flexible (see Fig. 4a) as the entire device may be angled as needed for the invention

Page 5

With regards to various materials such as the elongate components being biocompatible or the member extending through the joint component having elastic properties, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include these various property features, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Lombardo discloses the claimed invention except for a covering/connector/or flexible sleeve that surroundings the joint area to assist in the flexible features of the joint. Ferree discloses a spinal fixation device that uses a sleeve as a cushion or protective cover. Ferree discloses many variations and shapes in the sleeves. In par. 26 Ferree further discloses that the sleeves could be made of any compressible or resilient material with cushion-like properties. Though it further discloses in par. 0020 that the sleeve may be placed over springs in preventing spinal extension, it still has a degree of elasticity to assist in the joint area. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the device of Lombardo having at least an elastic/flexible connector in view of Ferree to better protect, connect,

and supplement the function of the joint connection of the device to better assist in facet joint replacement.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

The indicated allowability of claims 42-48, 52-57 and 60 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Lombardo (US Patent 6,238,396) in view of Ferree (US Pub 2003/0220643). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

## Response to Arguments

Status of claims: Claim amendments filed 5/23/2008 have been entered for examination purposes.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 42-48, 52-57 and 60 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAMES L. SWIGER III whose telephone number is (571)272-5557. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9:00am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on 571-272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/733,554 Page 7

Art Unit: 3733

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/JAMES L SWIGER/ Examiner, Art Unit 3733

/Eduardo C. Robert/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3733